

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/759,711 | 01/12/2001 | Douglas N. Modlin | LJL 109A1 | 2739 | |
| 7590 03/22/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| KOLISCH, HARTWELL, DICKINSON | | | SNAY, JEFFREY R | | |
| McCORMACK & HEUSER Suite 200 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 520 S.W. Yamhill Street | | | 1743 | | |
| Portland, OR 97204 | | | DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | | 7710 | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| <u> </u> | Application N | o. A | pplicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/759,711 | M | MODLIN, DOUGLAS N. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | A | art Unit | | | | |
| | Jeffrey R. Sna | <u>, </u> | 743 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he inication. It days, a reply within the statutory in the unit of the unit of the properties of the properties of the application. | owever, may a reply be timely minimum of thirty (30) days wi ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the in to become ABANDONED (3 | filed ill be considered timely. mailing date of this cor 35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . |)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| • | or foreign priority under | 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(c | d) or (f) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority of | documents have been re | ceived. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority of | | | No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | Interview Summary (P | TO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P) | - | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | ·· | 450) | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 21O/SB/08) 5) [6) [| Notice of Informal Pate Other: | лк Аррікацоп (РТО | -132) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/759,711

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-20 and 50, drawn to a microplate, classified in class 422, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 21-46 and 49, drawn to a method for detecting luminescence, classified in class 436, subclass 172.
 - III. Claims 47 and 48, drawn to an optical analysis system, classified in class422, subclass 82.08.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of invention II does not require the frame structure of invention I. Additionally, the device of invention I could be used for materially different purposes, such as heating of samples or inspecting by absorption or chemiluminescence techniques.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Application/Control Number: 09/759,711

Art Unit: 1743

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it lacks the specific frame and transmitting wall structure of invention I. The subcombination has separate utility such as heating of samples or inspecting by absorption or chemiluminescence techniques.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method of invention II could be performed with a materially different apparatus because the method does not employ a particular examination site, nor does the method require exclusive detection from a sensed volume adjacent an inner surface of a sample well.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/759,711

Art Unit: 1743

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743